tunities for comment. The burden is on the objector to demonstrate compliance with this requirement for objection issues (see paragraph (d) (6) of this section).

(d) At a minimum, an objection must include the following: 1)(1 Objector's name and address as defined in §218.2, with a telephone number, if available; 2)Signature or other verification of authorship upon request (a scanned signature for electronic mail may be filed with the objection); 3)When multiple names are listed on an objection, identification of the lead objector as defined in §218.2. Verification of the identity of the lead objector must be provided upon request or the reviewing officer will designate a lead objector as provided in §218.5(d):

objector miss be provided upon request of the reviewing officer will designate a lead objector as provided in §218.5(d);

4)The name of the proposed project, the name and title of the responsible official, and the name(s) of the national forest(s) and/or ranger district(s) on which the proposed project will be implemented.

instricts of which the proposed project will be implemented;
5)A description of those aspects of the proposed project addressed by the objection, including specific issues related to the proposed project; if applicable, how the objector believes the environmental analysis or draft decision specifically violates law regulation or policy; suggested removed. olates law, regulation, or policy; suggested remedies that would resolve the objection; supporting reasons for the reviewing officer to consider

ing reasons for the reviewing officer to consider and 61A statement that demonstrates the connection between prior specific written comments on the particular proposed project or activity and the content of the objection, unless the objection concerns an issue that arose after the designated opportunity(ies) for comment (see paragraph (c) of this section). Please state "Recreation Realignment" in the subject line when providing electronic objections, or on the envelope when replying by mail.

Implementation Date
Timing of project decision pursuant to Title 36
CFR 218.12

CFR 218.12

(a) The responsible official may not sign a ROD or DN subject to the provisions of this part until the reviewing officer has responded in writing to all pending objections (see §218.11(b)(1)).

(b) The responsible official may not sign a Record of Decision (ROD) or DN subject to the provisions of this part until all concerns and instructions identified by the reviewing officer in the objection response have been addressed.

(c) When no objection is filed within the objection filing period (see §§218.26 and 218.32): 1)The Reviewing Officer must notify the responsible official.

1)The Reviewing Officer must notify the responsible official.
2)Approval of the proposed project or activity documented in a ROD in accordance with 40CFR 1506.10, or in a DN may occur on, but not before the fifth business day following the end of the objection filling period. Further information about this decision can be obtained by leaving a message for Mike Mulford NEPA Coordinator, at (870) 446-5122 extension 5136 and he will get back to you as soon as possible; or by; e-mailing michael.mulford@usda.gov or by calling Jim Dixon, Integrated Resources Team Leader at 479-518-0436.

This legal published in The Courier on 5/8/20.

on, II 0436